PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDUR	E ESH-025 Rev 1 page 1 of 17
Subject:		Effective Date: September 8, 2017	Initiated by:
Operations I	riteria and		Head, ES&H Department
Safety Ce	ertification System	Supersedes:	Approved:
		Rev. 0, 2/12/16	
			Director

#### MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Management System (Primary):	09.00 ESH and Integrated Safety Management (ESH)
Management System Owner:	Head, ES&H Department
Management Process:	09.09 ES&H - Operations Hazard Criteria and Safety Certification
Process Owner:	Head, ES&H Department
Subject Matter Expert (SME):	Head, ES&H Department

#### APPLICABILITY

This procedure applies to all experimental operations and projects at PPPL.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this procedure is to classify operations (i.e., projects or experimental devices) as to their hazard level, to indicate the required controls actions to be performed for each hazard level, and to specify the required authority levels for approvals. This procedure also establishes a system to require, issue, review, and control Safety Certificates. The Safety Certification System provides a formalized, standardized means of assuring independent review and authorization of High Hazard operations designated in accordance with this procedure. In addition, this procedure provides the methodology for implementation of PPPL Policy P-111 for designated Accelerators consistent with the DOE Accelerator Safety Order (DOE O 420.2C). Reviews and authorizations conducted under this procedure are in addition to NEPA reviews and certifications required by procedure ESH-014.

#### **SCOPE**

Hazard Classification is required for all operations (i.e., experimental devices). Safety Certificates are required for all operations which have been designated by the responsible Department Head to be High Hazard. These Certificates will be issued by the ES&H Executive Board (ES&H/EB). The Safety Certificate constitutes PPPL approval to conduct a High Hazard operation within the Safety Envelope and constraints indicated therein. Designated nuclear facilities per 10CFR830 and DOE-STD-1027, and designated Accelerators per DOE O 420.2C and P-111 require DOE approvals for commissioning and operations. For High Hazard, nuclear facility, and Accelerator operations, the ES&H/EB will appoint an Activity Certification Committee (ACC) to review the proposed operation.

Final hazard classifications, along with all required approvals and documentation as stated in this procedure shall be completed prior to implementation of any new operations. Proposed changes to an operation, new information, or discovered conditions that may impact an approved safety analysis or safety envelope must be evaluated using the Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) process described in this procedure.

## **REFERENCE DOCUMENTS**

DOE Order 225.1B

Accident Investigations

PPPL	Princeton Pla Physics Labo	asma oratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 2 of 17	
DOE O 420.2	С	Safety of A	ccelerator Facilities		
DOE G 420.2	-1A	Accelerator (https://www.series/0420	r Facility Safety Implementation w.directives.doe.gov/directives 0.2-EGuide-1a)	on Guide for DOE O 420.2C <u>a-documents/400-</u>	
DOE-STD-10	27	Hazard C Compliance	haracterization and Acciden e with DOE Order 5480.23, Nu	t Analysis Techniques for clear Safety Analysis Reports	
10 CFR 830 S	ubpart B	Nuclear Sa	fety Management, Safety Basis	Requirements	
O-021		ES&H Exe	cutive Board Charter		
O-022		Safety Review Committee Charter			
ESH-014 NE		NEPA Review System			
P-111 Accelera		Accelerator	r Safety Order Implementation		
ENG-055 Conduct		Conduct of	of Operations		
	~ ~ ~				

PPPL Lithium Safety Program document

### **DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS**

ACC Activity Certification Committee. The ACC is appointed by the ES&H Executive Board Chairperson to review the readiness of a High Hazard, nuclear facility, or Accelerator operation (or other hazard level operation, if deemed desirable) to safely conduct its mission. The ACC will consist of at least two (2) members who are not part of the operation's line organization and have knowledge and experience commensurate with performing the necessary reviews, as judged by the ES&H Executive Board Chairperson in consultation with the Department Head responsible for the operation and the Head of ES&H.

Accelerator An Accelerator is a device employing electrostatic or electromagnetic fields to impart kinetic energy to molecular, atomic, or sub-atomic particles and capable of creating a "radiological area" as defined in 10CFR835. Accelerator facilities include associated roads within site boundaries, plant and equipment utilizing, or supporting the production of, accelerated particle beams and the radioactive material created by those beams to which access is controlled to protect the safety and health of workers, the public or the environment. Per 10CFR835, radiological areas include "radiation areas", "high radiation areas", "very high radiation areas", "contamination areas", "high contamination areas", and "airborne radioactivity areas". Note that the definition of nonreactor nuclear facility in 10CFR830 states that Accelerators and their operations are not included; therefore, Accelerators are not subject to the requirements of 10CFR830 and DOE-STD-1027.

Accelerator Operations Those activities of an Accelerator and any associated Accelerator facilities that are bounded by the Safety Assessment Document (SAD). Accelerator operations (and post operations) include the production, dispensing, analysis, movement, processing, handling and other uses, and storage of radioactive material within the Accelerator facility.

Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR) An ARR is a structured method for verifying that hardware, personnel, and procedures associated with commissioning or routine operations are ready to permit the activity to be undertaken safely. See Attachment 8.

#### Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE ) See Safety Envelope.

**Commissioning** For fusion experiments that meet the definition of Accelerator, a phase that is typically used to perform integrated systems testing, including integrated field coil testing. The details of the duration, breadth and formality of a commissioning period for each Accelerator would be agreed upon jointly by PPPL and DOE-PSO. Commissioning activities are bounded by an Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE) and preceded by an Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR). At its conclusion, the Accelerator is ready for performance of an ARR for routine operations, or directly for routine operations if the ARR was part of the commissioning process.

**Credited Controls** Controls determined through safety analysis to be essential for safe operation directly related to the protection of personnel or the environment.

**ES&H/EB** Environment, Safety & Health Executive Board (PPPL document O-021)

**MSW** Management Safety Walkthrough, which involves participation by PPPL management (including those independent of the High Hazard or Accelerator operation) in a physical walkdown of the High Hazard or Accelerator facility.

**Operations** At PPPL, operations as defined here are generally synonymous with experimental devices.

**Pre-Job Briefing (PJB)** This is a briefing conducted by the supervisor with the employee in which the supervisor explains the job that the employee is to perform. It includes a description of hazards and potential risks associated with the job and emphasizes safety precautions required and the correct sequence of operations, as well as the description of required protective equipment. Recent changes to relevant equipment and/or procedures are considered. See also procedure ESH-004, Job Hazard Analysis.

**Project Hazard Analysis** A brief summary of a Moderate Hazard operation, including identification of hazards associated with the operation, and design features and administrative controls to mitigate these.

**Risk** A quantitative or qualitative expression of possible loss that considers both the probability that a hazard will cause harm and the consequences of that event.

**Safety Analysis** A documented process to systematically identify the hazards of a PPPL operation; including description and analyses of the adequacy of the measures taken to eliminate, control or mitigate the hazards and risks of normal operation; and identification and analyses of potential accidents and their associated risks.

**Documented Safety Analysis (DSA)** A DSA is a documented analysis of the extent to which a hazard category 1, 2 or 3 nuclear facility (as defined in DOE-STD-1027) can be operated safely with respect to workers, the public, and the environment, including a description of the conditions, safe boundaries, and hazard controls that provide the basis for ensuring safety. DSAs are approved by DOE. Details on the requirements for a DSA and related documentation are included in 10 CFR 830 Subpart B.

PPPL Prin

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory **PROCEDURE** 

ESH-025 Rev 1 page 4 of 17

**Safety Assessment Document (SAD)** This document contains the results of a safety analysis for High Hazard operations and Accelerators (and may be used for other hazard level operations if deemed desirable) pertinent to understanding the risks of operating the High Hazard or Accelerator facility. The SAD provides descriptions of relevant structures, systems and components, identification of hazards associated with the operation, and design features and administrative controls to mitigate these. The topics to be addressed are discussed in Attachments 1, 2 and 5. The SAD shall be reviewed by the PPPL Safety Review Committee (SRC) per PPPL document O-022 and approved by the Deputy Director for Operations. Preparation and review of SADs for lithium operations must also follow the guidelines in the PPPL Lithium Safety Program document. An Accelerator SAD represents the technical basis for the Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE), and must follow the requirements of DOE O 420.2C (see Attachment 1).

**Safety Certificate** This is a document that authorizes start-up and/or continuing operation of a High Hazard operation, and is issued by the ES&H/EB after review and documentation per Section B of this procedure.

**Safety Envelope** The Safety Envelope, which is the basis for the conditions and limitations in the Safety Certificate authorizing a High Hazard operation, constitutes the provisions that must be satisfied to permit the High Hazard operation to proceed or continue. For Accelerators, an Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE) is a set of verifiable physical and administrative credited controls that define the bounding conditions for safe operation and address the Accelerator facility hazards and risks. See Attachment 7. Restart of an Accelerator facility or activity after a DOE-mandated shutdown because of an ASE violation requires approval of the DOE-PSO Manager.

**SRC** Safety Review Committee (PPPL document O-022)

Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) and Unreviewed Safety Issue Determination (USID) A USID is performed for a Moderate Hazard Operation to document changes to an approved SAD or Project Hazard Analysis; and for a High Hazard operation to evaluate a proposed change (e.g., a new machine component, change in operating parameters, etc.) or new information to determine whether there are any impacts on the approved SAD, approved Safety Envelope (documented in the SAD), and/or approved Safety Certificate. If the Safety Envelope and/or Safety Certificate are affected, a USI exists requiring the Responsible Line Manager (RLM) for the operation to determine the necessary changes that need to be made to the Safety Certificate, and the ACC to present those changes to the ES&H Executive Board. The Board would then approve or disapprove the changes, and the Safety Certificate would be revised as needed. The operation then would need to make any approved changes.

For Accelerators, a USI exists if there is a significant increase in the probability of or consequences from (1) a planned modification that creates a previously unanalyzed postulated accident or condition that could result in a significant adverse impact, or (2) a previously analyzed postulated accident or condition. Activities involving identified USIs for Accelerators must not commence before DOE-PSO has provided written approval. Restart of an Accelerator facility or activity after a DOE-mandated shutdown because of a USI also requires approval of the DOE-PSO Manager.

**Princeton Plasma PPPL** 

#### HAZARD CLASSIFICATIONS (see Lithium Safety Program document for additional guidance on classification of lithium work)

#### 1. **Low Hazard Operations**

A low hazard operation presents minor onsite and negligible offsite impacts to people or the Typical low hazard operations include operation of small research devices and environment. experiments.

#### 2. **Moderate Hazard Operations**

A moderate hazard operation can present considerable potential onsite impacts to people or the environment, but at most only minor offsite impacts. Typical moderate hazard operations include operation of moderate sized research devices and experiments, and/or may involve one or more of the following:

- a. Operation of an energy storage capacitor bank.
- b. Operations where personnel safety interlocks may need to be bypassed or rendered inoperative.
- c. Operations involving confined space entry.
- d. Operations involving crane operation.

#### 3. **High Hazard Operations**

A high hazard operation can present potential for serious onsite and/or offsite impacts to people or the environment. Operations are defined high hazard due to their intrinsic hazards or due to a collection of lower hazards that increase the probability of a serious accident. Typical high hazard operations or their potential effects are as follows:

- a. Large research devices and experiments on the scale of NSTX-Upgrade (NSTX-U) but which do not meet the definition for Accelerator.
- b. Any operation where there is a real possibility of > \$2.5 Million property loss or damage, including costs of cleaning, decontaminating, renovating, replacing, or rehabilitating property [threshold for appointing an Accident Investigation Board per DOE 225.1B].
- c. Any operation where there is a real possibility of  $\geq 100$  mrem effective dose equivalent to an offsite individual [ES&HD 5008, Section 10, Table 10.7].
- d. Any operation where there is a real possibility of  $\geq 600$  mrem effective dose equivalent to any occupational worker [ES&HD 5008, Section 10.210]

#### 4. Nuclear Facility

In addition to the classifications in 1-3 above, a PPPL operation other than an Accelerator that will involve quantities of radionuclides must be evaluated using the criteria of DOE-STD-1027 to determine if classification as a Category 1, 2 or 3 nuclear facility is required.

#### 5. Accelerator

An operation that meets the Accelerator definition in this procedure.

**PPPL** Prince Physic

#### HAZARD CONTROL TECHNIQUES

#### 1. Low Hazard Control Techniques

Applicable safety training, on the job training, pre-job briefings and adequate management supervision are primary techniques of low hazard control. Preparation of one or more Job Hazard Analyses (JHAs) in accordance with PPPL procedure ESH-004 is required for low hazard operations.

#### 2. Moderate Hazard Control Techniques

Pre-job briefing, training, personnel certification, written permits, written approvals, and coordination of activities are the primary control techniques.

Periodic management walk-throughs and safety inspections should be employed for moderate hazard operations.

A Project Hazard Analysis is required. A Safety Assessment Document (SAD) may be directed by the responsible Department Head or the ES&H-EB, or may be prepared at the discretion of the responsible Project Head or Principal Investigator. These documents must be reviewed by the PPPL Safety Review Committee (SRC) and approved by the Deputy Director for Operations. See Attachments 1, 2 & 5 for topics to be addressed in project hazards analyses and SADs.

#### 3. High Hazard Control Techniques

A safety certificate is required for all High Hazard operations. A Safety Assessment Document (SAD) shall be prepared, reviewed by the PPPL Safety Review Committee (SRC) and appointed Activity Certification Committee (ACC), and approved by the Deputy Director for Operations. See Attachments 1, 2 & 5 for topics to be addressed in SADs.

#### 4. Nuclear Facilities Control Techniques

In addition to the applicable techniques in 1-3 above, Category 1, 2 or 3 nuclear facilities require Documented Safety Analyses (DSAs) approved by DOE (see 10 CFR 830, Subpart B).

#### 5. Accelerator Control Techniques

DOE approval is required to commission and operate all Accelerators. A Safety Assessment Document (SAD) shall be prepared, reviewed by the PPPL Safety Review Committee (SRC) and appointed Activity Certification Committee (ACC), and approved by the Deputy Director for Operations. See Attachments 1, 2 & 5 for topics to be addressed in SADs.

In addition, Accelerators require an approved Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE) (see Attachment 7), an Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) process that supports configuration management efforts to help assure facility and supporting safety documentation are current and periodically updated, an Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR) program that ensures facilities are adequately prepared for safe commissioning and/or operations (see Attachment 8), and clearly defined roles and responsibilities for Accelerator activities including those for training and procedures.

DOE-PSO Manager approvals are required for the ASE, start of commissioning activities after assuring that an appropriate ARR has been conducted, start of routine operations, restart of an Accelerator facility or activity after a DOE-mandated shutdown because of a USI or ASE violation, activities that justify a USI, decommissioning activities, and exemption/equivalency requests in accordance with DOE O 420.2C. In addition, DOE Program Secretarial Officer approval is required for ASEs for Accelerator facilities where site boundary consequences for credible postulated accident

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

scenarios potentially exceed 1 rem (0.01Sv) and/or Emergency Response Planning Guide ERPG-2, and for exemption/equivalency requests in accordance with DOE O 420.2C.

#### **SECTION A.**

**PPPL** 

#### **Hazard Classification**

.. ....

-

. . .

All operations (i.e., experimental devices) at PPPL shall be classified as Low, Moderate, or High hazard operations, or where applicable, as Accelerators or Nuclear Facilities. Hazard classification shall be determined by the responsible Department Head or his/her designee, in consultation with the Principle Investigator/Project Manager, the ES&H Department Head, and with the concurrence of the Deputy Director for Operations. Use Attachment 9 to document the classification.

AC	<u>etion</u>
1.	Defines new operation for implementation at PPPL.
2.	Confers with responsible Department Head or his/her designee using Attachments 2 and 5 to define operational hazards, and the hazard classifications defined in this procedure. Completes and signs Attachment 9.
3.	Department Head consults with the ES&H Department Head, and with the concurrence of the Deputy Director for Operations, agrees on Hazard Classification. All sign Attachment 9 approving the classification. The completed Attachment 9 is provided to the PPPL Operations Center.
4.	Approves the conduct of low hazard operations, and written documentation of the approval is provided by the RLM signing the JHA.
5.	Approves the conduct of moderate hazard operations with written documentation of the approval.
6.	For High Hazard operations, Accelerators and nuclear facilities, requests the ES&H/EB to appoint an Activity Certification Committee (ACC).
7.	Appoints an ACC to review the proposed High Hazard operation, Accelerator or nuclear facility to support issuance by the ES&H/EB of a safety certificate for a High Hazard operation, and to support DOE approvals for nuclear facilities and Accelerators. See Sections B and C of this Procedure. The appointment must be documented, include the specific charge to the Committee, and designate (at least) the chairperson for the ACC. A list of members will be maintained on the ES&H Executive Board.
	Ac 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.

#### SECTION B.

#### High Hazard Operations (including Safety Certificate)

(Nuclear facilities and Accelerators require separate approvals by DOE to commence operations. See 10CFR830, Subpart B for nuclear facilities, and Section C for Accelerators)

#### **Responsibility** Action

- ES&H/EB
   In consultation with the responsible Department Head and the Head of ES&H, appoints an Activity Certification Committee (ACC) to arrange for reviews of all supporting analyses and documentation required for a safety certificate. The ACC consists of at least two (2) members who are not part of the operation's line organization and have knowledge and experience commensurate with performing the necessary reviews. The ACC will remain intact for the duration of the High Hazard operation or until dissolved by the ES&H/EB. DOE employees may be asked to serve on the ACC as ex officio members.
  - 2. The ES&H/EB may solicit help from a non-PPPL source to assist or serve on the ACC.
- RLM/Line
   3. Prepares all required information to support the granting of a Safety Certificate, including any proposed constraints to ensure safe operations. This must include a conduct of operations implementation procedure, including a matrix of the requirements in DOE O 422.1 (see ENG-055).
  - 4. Arranges for all required supporting analyses and documentation for the Safety Certificate, and provides them to the ACC, allowing for appropriate lead time.
  - 5. Responds to all action items and requests for further information by the ACC.
  - 6. Information provided by line management to the ACC to support their review for issuance of a Safety Certificate should include, but not necessarily be limited to:
    - a. Safety Assessment Document (SAD).
    - b. Preoperational test plans, and any necessary emergency response plans.
    - c. Operating procedures relevant to the safe conduct of operations, including the conduct of operations implementation procedure (per ENG-055).

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 9 of 17
	d. Certif circur techn certif subm exper	fication of Qualified Oper instances of the activity wa ical certification of operating ication requirements shall b ission shall document the me ience requirements.	rators. When the arrant medical and/or personnel, the specific be submitted. This edical, training, and/or
	e. Other	information deemed relevant by	y the ACC.
ACC	<ol> <li>Performs review physical inspect</li> <li>Maintains a contechnical require external to the</li> </ol>	w of information provided by tions and walk-downs of approp ore group of subject matter e rements of the ACC. Calls on ACC (e.g., Safety Division, Si	line management, and riate sub-systems. experts specific to the appropriate personnel ite Protection Division,
	etc.) to participa and to provide Certificate. 9. Replaces ACC competency w recommendation 10. Consults, as Environment,	ate in the safety review process assistance as required to support c membership as appropriation ithin the committee, based n and ES&H/EB Chairperson apprequired, with line manager Safety, & Health Department	conducted by the ACC, ort issuance of a Safety te to maintain core on ACC Chairperson oproval. ment, DOE and the nent on safety and
	its review.	v reviews supporting issuance of	Safety Certificates.
RLM	12. Meets with th Management Sa the planned ope Operation is rea of any deficienc completed prior	e ACC Chairperson to deter- afety Walkthroughs (MSWs) st eration to help verify readiness ady to commence. These MSW cies deemed important to operation to issuance of a Safety Certification	mine if one or more hould be performed of when the High Hazard Vs, including correction ional readiness, must be ate by the ES&H/EB.
ACC	13. Recommends Certificates alor on which the iss	to the ES&H/EB issuance ng with any necessary special consumer of Safety Certificates sho	or denial of Safety onditions or constraints ould be based.
ES&H/EB	14. Based on the A completion of c to operational ES&H/EB, the The Safety Cer by the Response	ACC recommendations, the res orrective actions for any deficie readiness, and other factors de Board will decide on issuance o tificate Form (see Attachment of sible Line Manager and appro-	ults of the MSWs and incies that are necessary eemed relevant by the of the Safety Certificate. 6) should be completed oved by the ES&H/EB

**PROCEDURE** 

Chairperson.

RLM

- 15. Retains the original approved Safety Certificate. Posts a copy of the Certificate prominently in a location visible to the operations personnel for the High Hazard operation. Operates within the stated constraints of the Certificate.
- 16. Take necessary action to close out a Safety Certificate upon completion of the operations for which it was issued.
- 17. Upon completion of the operation or activity covered by a Safety Certificate, the Responsible Line Manager shall remove the posted copy of the Safety Certificate and indicate and initial the date of activity completion on the original Safety Certificate. The original Safety Certificate should be retained by the Responsible Line Manager. Copies of the closed out Safety Certificate should be sent to the ES&H/EB Chairperson and the Head of the ES&H Department.

#### **SECTION C.**

#### **Accelerators**

DOE approvals are required as indicated below. Guidance to help implement the steps in Section C of this procedure can be found in DOE G 420.2-1A Chapter 2.

The ES&H Department will maintain a current listing/inventory of PPPL Accelerators approved by DOE for commissioning and/or routine operations, and exemptions and equivalencies granted by DOE in accordance with DOE O 420.2C. This listing/inventory will include the name of the facility, its operational status, the date of the current SAD, the approval of the ASE if applicable, date of exemption approval if applicable, and the programmatic sponsor.

<u>Responsibility</u>	Action
ES&H/EB Chairperson	1. Appoints an Activity Certification Committee (ACC) to arrange for reviews of all supporting analyses and documentation required for obtaining DOE approval for commissioning and routine operations. See Section B Step 1 for additional details
Project/PPPL Management	2. Prepares all required information to support obtaining DOE approval for commissioning and routine operations, including any proposed constraints to ensure safe operations. This must include:
	<ul> <li>a. The Safety Assessment Document (SAD)</li> <li>b. The Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE)</li> <li>c. A conduct of operations implementation procedure, including a matrix of the requirements in DOE O 422.1 (see ENG-055)</li> <li>d. Clearly defined roles and responsibilities for Accelerator</li> </ul>
Printed copie document is a	es of this document are considered UNCONTROLLED / Information only copies. The official at http://bp.pppl.gov/PPPL_docs.html_The OA/QC department maintains the signed originals.

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 11 of 17
	activi DOE e. Preop respo f. Opera G 420 g. Train Sectio h. Other	ties, including those for training G 420.2-1A Sections 2.4 and 2.5 erational test plans, and any nse plans ating and other procedures for sa 0.2-1A Section 2.4 for guidance) ing program for safe operations on 2.5 for guidance) information deemed relevant by	ng and procedures (see o for guidance) necessary emergency fe operations (See DOE (see DOE G 420.2-1A the ACC.
ACC	3. Performs revi physical inspection Section B Steps 7 prepare for and	ew of information provided by ons and walk-downs of approp -11). The ACC review should b facilitate an effective Acceleration	The management, and briate sub-systems (see be guided by the need to ator Readiness Review

Project/PPPL
Management
Communicates with DOE-PSO to reach agreement on the path forward for conducting an Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR), in accordance with Attachment 8, to support DOE-PSO Manager approval to start commissioning activities. As part of the ARR process, the Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE) (see Attachment 6) is reviewed by the ARR team, the ACC and the SRC, approved for PPPL by the Deputy Director for Operations, and submitted to the DOE-PSO Manager for approval. If site boundary consequences for credible postulated accident scenarios potentially exceed 1 rem (0.01Sv) and/or Emergency Response Planning Guide ERPG-2, RLM/Line Management coordinates with DOE-PSO to obtain approval of ASE from the Director of the DOE Office of Science (DOE-SC).

Section 2.10.

5. Upon successful completion of the ARR, requests approval from the DOE-PSO Manager to start commissioning activities.

(ARR), using the guidance of Attachment 8 and DOE G 420.2-1A

6. Upon receipt of DOE-PSO Manager approval to start commissioning activities, retains the original approved ASE. Posts a copy of the DOE approved ASE prominently in a location visible to the operations personnel for the Accelerator operation. Conducts commissioning activities within the credited controls of the approved ASE.

7. Communicates with DOE-PSO to reach agreement on the path forward for conducting an ARR, in accordance with Attachment 8, to support DOE-PSO Manager approval to start routine operations. Any



changes required to the ASE must be reviewed and approved as noted in Step 4 above. If the ARR for routine operations was part of the commissioning process, this step is not needed.

8. Upon successful completion of the ARR or commissioning activities, as appropriate, requests approval from the DOE-PSO Manager to start routine operations.

9. Upon receipt of DOE-PSO Manager approval to start routine operations, retains the original approved ASE. Posts a copy of the DOE approved ASE prominently in a location visible to the operations personnel for the Accelerator operation. Conducts operations within the credited controls of the approved ASE.

10. Activities to decommission an Accelerator must have prior approval from the DOE-PSO Manager (see DOE G 420.2-1A Chapter 4 for guidance). Upon completion of the operation or activity covered by an approved ASE, the Responsible Line Manager shall remove the posted copy of the ASE. The original ASE should be retained by the Responsible Line Manager.

#### SECTION D.

#### Modifying a Project Hazard Analysis or SAD

When activities associated with an operation require a change to a Project Hazard Analysis or SAD, the change can be made either by revising and re-approving the analysis or SAD, or by processing a USID Form (see Attachments 3 and 4). A maximum of six (6) such USID Forms can be processed for a specific SAD or Project Hazard Analysis Revision #. If a seventh change is needed, the analysis or SAD must be revised and re-approved. Changes to a SAD for a high hazard operation or Accelerator may also result from application of the USID process; see Sections E & F. (Note: revisions to a DSA for a Category 1, 2 or 3 nuclear facility must follow the requirements of 10 CFR 830 Subpart B).

#### Modifying a SAD or Project Hazard Analysis

#### **Responsibility** Action

- RLM
- Submits proposed changes to the SAD or Project Hazard Analysis to the USID Evaluator (Head, ES&H) using a USID Form (Attachment 3).

PPPL	Princeto Physics I	n Plasma Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 13 of 17
USID Evaluat	or 2.	Completes the operation or Ac and document c	USID Form. If no USI is celerator only; see also Sectior hanges to the SRC Chairpersor	involved (High Hazard as E & F), submits Form a for review.
SRC Chairper or full SRC	rson 3.	Reviews chang approval, signs Analysis (copy for SAD.	ges to the SAD or Project l USID Form and sends to R USID Evaluator), or to Deputy	Hazard Analysis. After LM for Project Hazard Director for Operations
Deputy Direct for Operations	tor 4.	Approves chang RLM (copy to U	ges to the SAD, signs USID F JSID Evaluator).	form and sends it to the
RLM	5.	Informs all aff Form and SAD these affected v USID Coordina	ected workers of the changes D/Project Hazard Analysis revi workers is done by the RLM tor.	s covered by the USID sion. Determination of in consultation with the
	6	Files approved	SADs Project Hazard Analy	sis and USID Forms in

6. Files approved SADs, Project Hazard Analysis and USID Forms in Project files or Departmental files.

#### SECTION E.

# <u>Unreviewed Safety Issue Determinations (USIDs) – High Hazard Operation Only (Non-Accelerators)</u>

A USID is performed for a High Hazard operation to evaluate a proposed change (e.g., a new machine component, change in operating parameters, etc.) or new information to determine whether there are any impacts on the approved SAD, approved Safety Envelope, and/or approved Safety Certificate. If the Safety Envelope and/or Safety Certificate are affected, a USI exists and the Responsible Line Manager (RLM) for the operation would determine the necessary changes that need to be made to the Safety Certificate, and the ACC would present those changes to the ES&H Executive Board. The Board would then approve or disapprove the changes, and the Safety Certificate would be revised as needed. The approved changes could then be made to the High Hazard operation.

PPPL	Princeto Physics	on Plasma Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 14 of 17
<u>Responsibilit</u> RLM	<u>y Ac</u> 1.	tion Reviews propose an ECN, the Wor (MPC or T-Mod) potential Unrevie potential USI or S form, Attachmen ES&H) to compl	ed changes to an existing High Hark Planning System, Technical Prob, Design or Peer Review, or other ewed Safety Issues (USI's) or chars SAD change exists, fills out a US t 3, and submits it to the USID E ete the USID.	azard operation using rocedure Modification er means for any anges to the SAD. If a SID-Non Accelerator Evaluator (Head,
USID Evaluat (Head, ES&H	or 2.	Completes the Us involved, follows Analysis or SAD USID Form to As Safety Envelope	SID Form. If Evaluator determin s process in Section D, "Modifyin ". If USI exists, also informs RI CC Chairperson to start process and Safety Certificate.	es that no USI is ng a Project Hazard M and provides for modifying the
RLM	3.	Works with the A approval from the for the initial Saf	ACC to modify the Safety Certifi e ES&H/EB, following the steps Yety Certificate.	cate and request outlined in Section B
ACC	4.	Presents the char Board.	nges to the Safety Certificate to	the ES&H Executive
ES&H/EB	5.	Approves or disa	pproves the changes to the Safet	y Certificate.
RLM	6.	Implements appr all affected wor including revisio of these affected USID Coordinate	roved changes to the High Haza rkers of the changes covered ons to the SAD and Safety Certi workers is done by the RLM in or.	rd Operation. Informs by the USID Form, ificate. Determination consultation with the

#### **SECTION F.**

#### **Unreviewed Safety Issue Determinations (USIDs)** –Accelerators

The USI process is used to determine whether planned Accelerator operations or modifications will introduce significant safety consequences beyond those addressed in the facility's SAD or ASE. The USI process addresses modifications to documentation, systems, or components, and the Accelerator facility, including new activities. Configuration management is to be used as a tool to flow significant changes in documentation, systems, or components to initiate the USI process whenever those changes impact on Accelerator safety requirements. The USI process focuses primarily on preventing a change from significantly affecting safety of the Accelerator facility, and if necessary, the USI process should be used to support a discovery or an "as-found condition" that impacts on safety. If a discovery is confirmed to exist and is determined to represent a significant increase in the probability of or consequences from an accident or condition, then PPPL must communicate the concern to DOE-PSO.

DDDI	Princeton Plasma	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1
<b>TTTL</b>	<b>Physics Laboratory</b>	INCELDENE	page 15 of 17

PPPL and DOE-PSO would work together to consider whether interim actions are required, including Accelerator facility shutdown until the safety issue is resolved. If Accelerator operations can go forward with alternate protection providing equivalent safety, as agreed upon by the DOE-PSO, then PPPL Accelerator management would document the alternate protection.

Accelerator management should develop a risk-matrix table for decision making to help define "significant increase in the probability or consequence of an analyzed or unanalyzed event" for use in the USI process.

See DOE G 420.2-1A Section 2.6 for additional guidance

<u>Responsibility</u> RLM/Accelerator Management	<u>Ac</u> 1.	tion Working with the USID Evaluator, reviews proposed changes to, or discovered event or condition of an existing Accelerator operation using an ECN, the Work Planning System, Technical Procedure Modification (MPC or T-Mod), Design or Peer Review, or other means for any potential Unreviewed Safety Issues (USI's), changes to the ASE, or changes to the SAD. If a potential USI, ASE change, or SAD change exists, fills out (or directs the filling out of) a USID-Accelerator form, Attachment 4, and submits it to the USID Evaluator (Head, ES&H) to complete the USID.
USID Evaluator (Head, ES&H)	2.	Completes the USID Form. If Evaluator determines that no USI is involved, follows process in Section D, "Modifying a Project Hazard Analysis or SAD". If USI exists, informs RLM, SRC Chairperson, ACC Chairperson, Deputy Director for Operations, and DOE-PSO Manager, and provides USID Form to ACC Chairperson to start process for modifying the ASE.
RLM/Accelerator Management	3.	Prevents implementation of changes associated with a positive USI determination, and stops operations relevant to a discovered event or condition that results in a positive USI determination until DOE-PSO Manager approval is received for change implementation, resumption of operations, or interim actions. Works with the ACC to modify the SAD and ASE, and to request approval of the ASE from the DOE-PSO Manager.
RLM/ Accelerator Management	4.	Implements approved changes to the Accelerator Operation within the credited controls of the approved ASE. Informs all affected workers of the changes covered by the USID Form, including revisions to the SAD and ASE. Determination of these affected workers is done by the RLM in consultation with the USID Coordinator.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

#### TRAINING (SECTION REQUIRED FOR ALL PROCEDURES)

Head, ES&H Department

**PPPL** 

 Target Audience: RLMs, Principle Investigators, Department Heads Instructor: Head, ES&H Department Training Method: <u>X</u>Read only <u>X</u>Email distribution only

Frequency:  $\underline{X}$ Once only  $\underline{X}$ Other: When changes are made to this procedure

#### **RECORDS REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIC TO THIS PROCEDURE**

Records Custodians must assure records are maintained as follows:

Record	Record Custodian	Location	Retention Time
Safety Certificate	RLM	Operations Center	Review annually. Cutoff
Safety Assessment	RLM	Operations Center	when superseded,
Document			obsolete, or cancelled.
Documented Safety	RLM	Operations Center	Destroy 25 years after
facilities)			PENDING - DO NOT
USID Form	RLM	Operations Center	DESTROY
			Hold indefinitely – DOE
			<i>Reference: Environmental</i> <i>Records (1.b.4.b)</i>
Accelerator Safety	RLM	Operations Center	Review annually. Cutoff
Envelope (ASE)			when superseded,
			obsolete, or cancelled.
			cutoff.
			PENDING - DO NOT
			DESTROY
			Hold indefinitely – DOE
			Reference: Environmental
	DIM		Records (1.b.4.b)
Operation Hazard	KLM	Operations Center	
Classification Form			

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1 Hazard Analysis

Attachment 2 Suggested Elements for Project Hazard Analysis and SAD

Attachment 3 Unreviewed Safety Issue Determination (USID) Form - Non-Accelerator

Attachment 4 Unreviewed Safety Issue Determination (USID) Form - Accelerator

Attachment 5 SAD and Project Hazard Analysis Review Checklist

Attachment 6 Safety Certificate Form - Typical

Attachment 7 Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE)

Attachment 8 Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR)

Attachment 9 Operation Hazard Classification Form

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 1 of 1
HAZARD ANALYSIS			Attachment 1

#### HAZARD ANALYSIS

The following topics must be addressed in Project Hazard Analyses and Safety Assessment Documents (SADs):

- 1. An overview of the operation (project or experimental device), including mission, goals, and/or objectives.
- 2. Descriptions of structures, systems and components relevant to the operation, with emphasis on environment, safety and health (ES&H) features.
- 3. Identification of hazards associated with the operation and methods employed for their mitigation. Suggested elements for consideration in the hazards analyses is provided in Attachment 2, and a Review Checklist to assist line management in determining the potential hazards encountered for an operation is found in Attachment 5.
- 4. Discussion of the Safety Envelope for SADs associated with High Hazard operations,
- 5. Description of how operations will be conducted, with emphasis on ES&H features.

The depth of the discussions of these topics should be commensurate with the hazard level and the severity of the specific hazards associated with the operation. Additional topics may also be addressed.

Attachment 5 provides some suggested analytical elements that can be used in performing a Project Hazard Analysis or SAD. The ES&H Department can be consulted for additional guidance. High Hazard and Accelerator operations should perform failure modes and effects analyses (FMEAs) and include them in their SADs.

SADs for Accelerators must:

- a. identify hazards and associated onsite and offsite impacts to workers, the public, and the environment from the facility for both normal operations and credible accidents;
- b. contain sufficient descriptive information and analytical results pertaining to specific hazards and risks identified during the safety analysis process to provide an understanding of risks presented by the proposed operations;
- c. provide detailed descriptions of engineered controls (e.g., interlocks and physical barriers) and administrative measures (e.g., training) taken to eliminate, control, or mitigate hazards from operation; and
- d. include or reference a description of facility function, location, and management organization in addition to details of major facility components and their operation.

See DOE G 420.2-1A Section 2.2 for additional guidance.

ταα	Princeton Plasma	
<b>PPL</b>	<b>Physics Laboratory</b>	

# PROCEDURE

SUGGESTED ELEMENTS FOR PROJECT HAZARD ANALYSIS & SAD

**1.** Energy and Hazardous Material Analysis - the process of identifying the types of energy, (kinetic, potential, electrical, radiation, etc.) or hazardous material, their sources and potential target(s) should an unwanted transfer of energy or hazardous material occur.

2. Barrier Analysis - the process of identifying the types and location of barriers present to control the source of energy or hazardous material, e.g., on the source, on the target, between the two, or separation of source and target by time and space.

**3. Protective Devices Analysis** – the process of determining the adequacy of protective devices, e.g., personal equipment, interlocks, etc.

4. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) - a FMEA is a detailed analysis of the failure modes in and the effects of those failures on components, subsystems, systems, etc., ultimately to determine the effects on the level of safety present in the system.

**5. Event Tree Analysis (ETA)** - an ETA is a logic block diagram for systematically determining, through event identification, the effects on the safety of the project and systems.

**6. Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)** - an FTA is a logic block diagram for systematically determining, through fault identification, the probability of failures in components and systems and the safety effects.

7. Component Hazard Analysis - an analysis and study to determine the effects of failures on safety at the component level.

**8.** Subsystem Hazard Analysis - a detailed study of a particular subsystem (a system that together with other systems, make up a larger, more complex system) to determine the effects on safety should that subsystem fail or malfunction.

**9.** System Hazard Analysis - a detailed study of an entire system, or project, to detect the effects of failures on safety of the overall system or any of its subsystems.

**10. Support of Operations Hazard Analysis -** a projected analysis to identify hazards associated with the operating and support functions of a system.

**11. Inspection and Maintenance Hazard Analysis** - the process of identifying hazards resulting from maintenance actions and to determine the adequacy of the inspection requirements.

**12. Sneak Circuit Analysis** - a study of electrical or electronic circuitry and their components to assure that they are completely isolated from other circuits and cannot activate unwanted states in associated circuits and/or other components.

**13. Human Factors Analysis** - an analysis of that part of the machine that interfaces with people to determine if the machine is suitably/safely designed for the people who will operate it.

PP	PL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 1 of 2
Unrev Accele	riewed erator	Safety Issue Determination (	USID) Form: Non-	Attachment 3
escriptio	on of Pro	posed Change (including new inforn	USID Eva nation):	luation No
1.	Does the changer and Changer a	nis change require a revision to an s (attach markup if needed), and ind ange #.	approved SAD or Project Hazard licate Title of SAD or Project Haz	Analysis? If Yes, provide specific ard Analysis, Document Revision #
	YES [ Explana	] NO[] ation		
2.	Are pre YES [ Explan	viously documented hazard consequ ] NO [ ] ation	ences changed in likelihood or sev	erity?
3.	Are new YES [ Explana	w hazards or new hazard consequenc ] NO [ ] ation	es involved?	
4.	Does the and the approv	is change require a revision to an ap ACC must evaluate the change a	proved Safety Envelope and/or Saind present the proposed revision	fety Certificate? If Yes, a USI exists to the ES&H Executive Board for operating with the proposed change
	YES [	NO[]	ery contineate is required prior to t	perating with the proposed challge.

Explanation

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 2 of 2
Unreviewed S	Safety Issue Determination (	Attachment 3	
Accelerator			

#### **Reviews and Approvals**

Responsible Line Manager (RLM)\_\_\_\_\_

USID Evaluator (Head ES&H)\_\_\_\_\_

SRC Chairperson (SAD/Project Hazard Analysis Changes)

Deputy Director for Operations (SAD changes)

ACC Chairperson (USIs only)\_\_\_\_\_

ES&H Executive Board Chairperson (USIs only)

A maximum of six (6) USID Forms may be approved for a specific SAD or Project Hazard Analysis Revision # before the document must be revised. RLM's must inform all affected workers of the changes covered on an approved USID Form

PP	PL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 1 of 2
Unre	eviewed	Safety Issue Determination (	USID) Form: Accelerator	Attachment 4
Descript	ion of Pro	posed Change, or Discovered Event	USID Eva	luation No
1.	Does tl (attach YES [ Explan	nis proposed change or discovery rea markup if needed), and indicate Title ] NO [ ] ation	quire changes to an approved SAI e of SAD, Document Revision #, a	D? If Yes, provide specific change nd Change #.
2.	Does tl If so, p YES [ Explan	ne proposed change or discovery affe rovide specific changes (attach marku ] NO [ ] ation	ect any of the requirements in the <i>a</i> up if needed).	Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE)
3.	Could t or cond YES [ Explan	the proposed change or discovery sig lition previously evaluated in the SA ] NO [ ] ation	nificantly increase the probability D?	of or consequences from an acciden
4.	Could signific YES [ Explan	the proposed change or discovery creater adverse impact? ] NO [ ] ation	eate a previously unanalyzed accid	lent or condition that may result in a
5.	Is DOE YES [	E-PSO Manager approval required*?		

the answers to questions 2-4 is "No", then no USI exists. A positive USI determination requires DOE-PSO Manager approval to: (a) implement the proposed change; (b) continue operating the Accelerator after the discovered event or condition. DOE-PSO Manager approval is also required for changes to the ASE.

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 2 of 2
Unreviewed S	Safety Issue Determination (	Attachment 4	

#### **Reviews and Approvals**

Responsible Line Manager (RLM)
USID Evaluator (Head ES&H)
SRC Chairperson (SAD Changes)
ACC Chairperson (SAD Changes and USIs)
Deputy Director for Operations (SAD changes and USIs)
DOE-PSO Approval Required for Proposed Change or Continued Operation? Yes 🔲 No 📃
DOE_PSO Approval Received (Date or N/A)

A maximum of six (6) USID Forms may be approved for a specific SAD Revision # before the document must be revised. RLM's must inform all affected workers of the changes covered on an approved USID Form

Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Γ,

# PROCEDURE

ESH-025 Rev 0 page 1 of 2

# SAD AND PROJECT HAZARD ANALYSIS REVIEW CHECKLIST

Attachment 5

Exposure or Failure Cost	No Exposure or No Cost	Possible Exposure or Less than \$100,000	Probable Exposure or over \$100,000 and less than	Standard Condition or greater than \$2,500,000 Cost
Potential Project Hazards		Cost	\$2,500,000 Cost	
A. Personnel Exposures				
Chemical				
Radio Frequency or				
Microwave (Radiation)				
Magnetic (5G, 30G, 1T)				
Radiation				
Nanoparticles				
Beryllium				
Asbestos				
Cryogenic				
Fire/Smoke				
Electrical (Arc/Flash,				
Shock,etc.)				
Heat/Cold				
Noise				
Pathogens				
Compressed Gas/Air				
Laser				
Dusts/Fumes/Aerosols				
Flash (e.g. Welding)				
<b>B. Equipment Failures</b>				
Explosion				
Electrical				
Flooding				
Hazardous Energy Release				
Hazardous Leaks				
Fire				
Collapse				
Uncontrolled Operation				
Crane Operations				
C. Environmental				
Exposures				
(Air/Water/Ground)				
Radiation				
Chemical				
Nanoparticles				

# PROCEDURE

ESH-025 Rev 0 page 2 of 2

## SAD AND PROJECT HAZARD ANALYSIS REVIEW CHECKLIST

Attachment 5

	No	Possible	Probable	Standard Condition
Exposure or	Exposure	Exposure or	Exposure	or greater than
Failure Cost	or No Cost	Less than	or over \$100,000	\$2,500,000 Cost
		\$100,000 Cost	\$2 500 000 Cost	
Potential Project		Cost	\$2,500,000 0000	
Hazarus				
Water Release				
Pathogens				
Waste Management				
Chemical				
Pathogen				
Radiological				
Solid				
Liquid				
Other Hazards				
<b>D. Infrastructure Damages</b>				
Fire				
Flooding				
Collapse				
Weakening				
Containment Breach				
E. Operations Conditions				
Special PPE requirements				
Heat Exposure				
Noise				
Cold Exposure				
Vibrations				
Confined Space				
Outdoor Operation				
Mobile Equipment				
Combustible Liquids				
Fall Exposure				
Pressure System				
Vacuum System				
Physical Exertion				
F. Nuclear Operations	<b>Special Con</b>	ditions defined	l in DOE-STD-102	7
G. Other				

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PF	ROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 1 of 1
Safety Certif	icate Form - Typical			Attachment 6
5	PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY		Safety	Certificate
LOCATION	(Site, Area, Bldg., Room, etc.)	):		
ACTIVITY (	Brief Description):			
CONDITION	NS/LIMITATIONS:			
RESPONSIE	BLE LINE MANAGER:			
APPROVED	BY (ES&H/EB Chairperson):			
ACTIVITY	COMPLETED (Dated and Sig	gned by	Responsible Line Ma	nager):

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 1 page 1 of 1
Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE)			Attachment 7

The requirements of an Accelerator Safety Envelope (ASE) are as follows (per DOE O 420.2C):

- a. A documented ASE must define the physical and administrative bounding conditions and controls for safe operations based on the safety analysis documented in the Safety Assessment Document (SAD).
- b. The ASE must be submitted to DOE Princeton Site Office (PSO) for approval and may be submitted as a separate document from the SAD.
- c. An activity expected to exceed the bounding conditions of the ASE requires DOE-PSO approval. Any activity violating the ASE must be terminated immediately and be put in a safe and stable configuration. Any activity that was shut down by DOE must not recommence until DOE approves the activity.

Strict adherence to the approved bounding conditions of the ASE is expected during all commissioning and operations activities. It may be advisable to establish an "Accelerator operations envelope" (AOE) with limits more conservative than those addressed in the ASE as an aid to assure that the ASE is not exceeded. Other limitations, controls, and restrictions not directly based on the SAD safety analysis also could be addressed in the AOE.

See DOE G 420.2-1A Section 2.3 for additional guidance.

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0 page 1 of 1
Accelerator Readiness Review (ARR)			Attachment 8

ARRs must be performed before DOE approval for commissioning and routine operation and as directed by the DOE Princeton Site Office (PSO) Manager. As part of the ARR process, PPPL must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the DOE-PSO Manager that the following processes are in place:

- a. A Contractor Assurance System that maintains an internal assessment process;
- b. A Facility Configuration Management Program that is related to Accelerator safety; and
- c. Credited controls and appropriate administrative processes related to Accelerator safety (e.g. training, procedures, etc.).

See DOE G 420.2-1A Section 2.10 for additional guidance, including setting up an internal readiness plan/process to prepare for and facilitate an effective ARR. Other relevant sections of DOE G 420.2-1A (e.g., Section 3.4 on Configuration Management during Operations) should be consulted as well.

<b>PPPI</b>	Princeton Plasma Physics	PROCEDURE	ESH-025 Rev 0		
			page 1 of 1		
Operation Hazard Classification Form			Attachment 9		
<b>OPERATION HAZARD CLASSIFICATION FORM</b>					
NAME OF OPERATION:					
LOCATION & DESCRIPTION :					
Check one:					
MODERATE HAZARD					
HIGH HAZARD					
ACCELERATOR					
_					
<b>NUCLE</b>	CAR FACILITY				
Justification	(attach additional sheets as r	needed):			
Signatura		_	Data		
Signature			Date		
Principle Investigator/Project Manager					
Responsible Department Head					
Head. ES&H					
,					
Deputy Director for Operations					
Printed	copies of this document are conside	red UNCONTROLLED / Informatic	on only copies. The official		
documer	nt is at http://bp.pppl.gov/PPPL_do	ocs.html The QA/QC department r	naintains the signed originals.		