

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

PPPL-

PPPL-



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

<http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm>

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

<http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

Related Links:

[U.S. Department of Energy](#)

[Office of Scientific and Technical Information](#)

[Fusion Links](#)

Diagnostics for the Biased Electrode Experiment on NSTX¹

A.L. Roquemore S. J. Zweben, PPPL, C. E. Bush, ORNL, R. Kaita, R. J. Marsalsa, PPPL, R. J. Maqueda, Nova Photonics

Abstract

A linear array of four small biased electrodes was installed in NSTX in an attempt to control the width of the scrape-off layer (SOL) by creating a strong local poloidal electric field. The set of electrodes were separated poloidally by a 1 cm gap between electrodes and were located slightly below the midplane of NSTX, 1 cm behind the RF antenna and oriented so that each electrode is facing approximately normal to the magnetic field. Each electrode can be independently biased to ± 100 volts. Present power supplies limit the current on two electrodes to 30 amps the other two to 10 amps each. The effect of local biasing was measured with a set of Langmuir probes placed between the electrodes and another set extending radially outward from the electrodes, and also by the gas puff imaging diagnostic (GPI) located 1 m away along the magnetic field lines intersecting the electrodes. Two fast cameras were also aimed directly at the electrode array. The hardware and controls of the biasing experiment will be presented and the initial effects on local plasma parameters will be discussed.

I. Introduction

An array of small biased electrodes were installed in the SOL of NSTX in order to explore the theory of SOL broadening proposed by Cohen

¹ **Contributed paper, published as part of the Proceedings of the 17th Topical Conference on High-Temperature Plasma Diagnostics, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May 2008.**

and Ryutov[1,2] by creating ‘toroidally asymmetric variations in the electrostatic potential’. The application of this theory could mitigate the harmful effects of high peaked heat flux in the divertor of next-step machines. Biasing, as opposed to the other methods of creating toroidal variations in the potential, has the flexibility of being able to scan a range of parameters with the greatest control. It was not the intention of this experiment to make global changes in the SOL parameters but rather to design an experiment where the local effects of biasing could be accurately measured and compared to predictions. Partial confirmation of the theory has already been obtained by several experiments, most notable by an experiment on MAST where ribs on the divertor floor were alternately biased and grounded, with the result that peak heat fluxes were reduced by as much as 75% for certain cases[3].

On NSTX, a poloidal array of four small electrodes was installed in the far SOL near the outboard midplane to study the effects of electrostatic biasing. These effects were measured using a poloidal as well as radial array of Langmuir probes in close proximity to the electrodes. In order to measure the effects of biasing ~ 1 m upstream of the electrode array, the gas puff imaging (GPI) diagnostic was employed. Also, two visible cameras viewed

the electrodes to look for any changes in visible light in the vicinity of the electrodes.

According to the theory, some fraction of the electrode bias should appear on the flux tubes intersecting the electrodes so a poloidal electric field, E_{pol} , will be formed between flux tubes of different potential. This electric field drives the radial convective flows, V_r , where

$$V_r = 10^8 E_{\text{pol}}(\text{V/cm}) / B_{\parallel}(\text{G}),$$

Therefore, for B_{\parallel} of ~ 2.5 kG in the NSTX edge, an E_{pol} of only 5 V/cm will drive V_r faster than natural turbulent transport velocities of 10^5 cm/s [4]. By biasing adjacent electrodes with opposite polarity, using the present available power supplies, a vacuum poloidal electric field of up to ~ 200 V/cm could be applied between the flux tubes which (if this appeared in the plasma), would create a convective flow velocity of $V_r = 5 \times 10^6$ cm/sec, which should easily be large enough to affect the SOL.

The present paper details the electrode arrangement and the diagnostics required to measure the effects of electrode biasing and presents some initial data. A future paper will describe more details on the measurements [5].

II Description of Electrode/Probe array

Fig. 1 shows a layout of the four-electrode array. Each electrode is formed from 0.3 cm thick stainless sheet and has a 3 cm x 3 cm square face. The electrodes were embedded into a 3 cm thick block of boron nitride (BSZN variety) to form a linear array with a 1 cm gap between adjacent electrodes. The front face of each electrode was recessed by ~ 1 mm into the BSZN and a small gap was made between and behind the electrode edge and the BSZN in order to reduce the possibility of electrical shorts forming between the electrodes due to plasma deposition on the front face of the insulator. This precaution of recessing the electrodes was essential since NSTX is now evaporating lithium into the plasma for density control. The leading edge of each electrode (the edge closest to the plasma) is only 3mm from the leading edge of BSZN. Each electrode has a stainless screw welded into the center of the backside of the electrode for mounting and to make the electrical connection. The BSZN was chosen as the substrate material because it is an excellent electrical insulator, provides a reasonable good heat sink and has good structural integrity required to support the array ~ 14 cm from the vessel wall.

Also seen in Fig. 1 is a set of Langmuir probes installed in the substrate to characterize the localized effects of the electrode biasing on the

plasma. Probes P1-P5 were installed on either side of the electrodes to form a poloidal array and probes P3a through P3d form a radial array with a 12.7 mm spacing between probe centers. The probes were each made from 8-32 SS threaded rod with the tips machined down to 3 mm diameter, and were threaded into the BSZN substrate from the back so that the tips were flush with the surface. A gap of ~ 0.5 mm was left between the edge of the probe and the BSZN, again to prevent short-circuiting from plasma deposits. Channels were machined into the back of the BSZN plate (not shown) to accommodate the cables for both the electrodes and probes and a 6 mm thick plate of standard boron nitride was placed over the back side for further protection.

III. Array location

The electrode array was specifically located on the magnetic field lines that pass through the region viewed by the GPI diagnostic as determined by the equilibrium code EFIT [6]. The GPI diagnostic, as described in detail in ref [7], utilizes a gas puff of either helium or deuterium to visibly enhance turbulence effects in the SOL. The gas puff cloud is viewed by a fast visible camera at up to 120K frames per second to determine the space-time effects of turbulent filaments often referred to as blobs (5).

The array was secured to the vessel wall using a SS angle bracket as a support and was located 20 degrees below the midplane to accommodate the steep pitch angle of the magnetic field lines at the edge of NSTX. The faces of the electrodes were aligned along a poloidal plane so that the electrodes were near-perpendicular to the magnetic field lines.

IV. Operations of the electrode and probe array

Each of the four electrodes has its own dedicated, independently controlled, power supply. Each electrode can be biased to positive or negative values or grounded with respect to the vacuum vessel or it can be allowed to float. While each of the four supplies can provide 0 -100 volts, the two positive supplies can deliver 30 amps of current while the two negative supplies are limited to 10 amps. In order to clearly see the difference between the bias and no bias case, the electrode bias is typically modulated at 50 Hz. The applied voltage and the resulting current waveforms are recorded at a rate of 20 kHz for each of the electrodes.

The entire probe array is biased in unison by a single Kepco Bipolar Operational Power supply (BOP). For measurements of temperature, the probe voltages can be swept at between ± 50 volts at a rate of up to 1kHz. The probes can also be floated to measure their floating potential and can

also have a fixed \pm bias to measure electron or ion saturation currents to determine the local plasma density. The probe voltages and currents are acquired at a faster rate than the electrodes of 200 kHz in order to determine the effects biasing may have on local fluctuations.

V. Initial results

The initial data for the biased electrode experiment presented here were obtained during ohmic plasmas, but similar results were also obtained with NBI heated plasmas [5].

a. I vs V curves

Figure 2(a) shows the currents drawn by the biased electrodes as a function of their voltage for a set of typical NSTX discharges with $I=0.8$ MA, $B=4.5$ kG (#123679-123684). The voltages were varied from shot-to-shot and the currents shown were averaged over the steady-state period from 200 – 300 ms, where the outer gap (which affects the edge plasma density), varied from ~ 4 cm to ~ 1 cm. The ion current was saturated for negative bias of more than -40 volts, but because of initial limits on the power supplies, the positive scan was only carried out to +40 V where the electron current is just starting to roll over due to saturation. From this plot, the measured ratio

of $I_{e(\text{sat})}/I_{i(\text{sat})} \sim 7$, which is much higher than the expected ratio of ~ 1 for the ‘double-probe’ model of electrode biasing [2].

Figure 2(b) shows the I vs V curve obtained for probe P2 for one of these discharges. This probe has an ion saturation current of ~ 0.01 amps, or 10^{-2} that of the nearby electrode, which is consistent with the area ratio of the probe to that of the electrode. However, the ratio of electron to ion saturation current in the probe is $I_{e(\text{sat})}/I_{i(\text{sat})} \sim 24$, which is much higher than that for the electrodes. This may be due to a perturbing effect of the large electron current on the magnetic flux tube connected to the electrode.

c. SOL broadening

Evidence of SOL broadening during the electrode biasing experiment is provided by the radial probe array. Data was taken during a different set of discharges when E2 was modulated at -90 volts and E3 was modulated at +90 volts. The signal from the radial probe array, P3a – P3d, lying between the two electrodes was averaged over 9 cycles of the ‘bias on’ phase and over 7 cycles for the ‘bias off’ phase. Fig 3 shows the resulting profile changes due to biasing for an ohmic plasmas. A clear increase in probe current is observed during biasing, indicating an increase in density which is

at least qualitatively consistent with movement of the SOL as predicted in Refs [1,2].

c. Gas Puff Imaging

The biasing system was designed such that the magnetic field lines incident on both the electrodes and probes would pass through the viewing region of the GPI diagnostic. The exact location of the probes in the GPI field of view was determined by cross-correlating the turbulent fluctuations as measured by the probes with the D_α light emission fluctuations as observed by the GPI fast visible camera. Fig. 4 shows a 2-D radial vs. poloidal mapping of the GPI field of view with red/white coloring indicating regions with a high correlation coefficient between individual probes (top-to-bottom) vs. time (left-to-right), averaged over 10 msec intervals. The solid line in each frame shows the location of the separatrix. The mapping shows a high level of maximum correlation for each probe with a corresponding GPI region (≥ 0.8), indicating that the both view the same turbulent ‘blobs’ or ‘filaments’, which are known to have a long ($> 1\text{m}$) correlation length along the magnetic field. The radial and poloidal size of these regions of $\sim 4\text{ cm}$ is the typical blob size for NSTX [4]. This is a

direct confirmation that the GPI and probe diagnostics measure the same turbulent fluctuations.

If the electrode bias potentials propagated along the flux tubes to the GPI location, we would expect a change in the time-averaged D_α radial emission profile corresponding to the broadening of the SOL profile seen in Fig. 3, similar to results seen in MAST [3]. However, no consistent changes in these have been seen so far [5], suggesting that the electrode potentials do not propagate ~ 1 meter along B (although there were some subtle changes in the turbulence motion correlated with electrode biasing).

d. Fast Cameras

Two cameras were used to view the electrode array itself. One camera viewed a close up of the electrodes from ~ 40 cm away along B, and the other camera viewed more of a global view of region around the array from across NSTX. In general, no significant changes were seen in the visible light (including D_α) during biasing. This was most likely due to the fact that the electrodes were in the ‘far-SOL’ in the shadow of the RF antenna, and so the local light emission at the electrodes was smaller than the background of other light emission along these views. Thus these cameras were not a useful diagnostic of the local SOL effects of the biasing.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Tom Holoman, Doug Labrie, Larry Guttadora and Westly Reese for their technical expertise in the fabrication and installation of the array. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy Contract # DE-AC02-76Ch03073

References:

- [1] R.H. Cohen, D.D. Ryutov, Nucl. Fusion 37, 621 (1997)
- [2] D.D. Ryutov et al, Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion 43, 1399 (2001)
- [3] G. Counsell et al, J. Nucl. Mat. 313-316, 804 (2003); G. Counsell et al, 30th EPS Conference, Vol. 27A, P-3.202 (2003)
- [4] S.J. Zweben et al, Nucl. Fusion, 44, 2004, 134
- [5] S.J. Zweben, et al, J. Nucl. Mat. 18th International Conference On Plasma Surface Interactions
- [6] S.A. Sabbath et al Nucl. Fusion 41, 2001
- [7] R. J. Maqueda et al Rev Sci Instrum. 74, 2003, 2020

Figure Captions.

Fig.1 Schematic of the relative locations of the four electrode (E1 – E4) and 8 Langmuir probes (P1-P5, P3a-P3d) mounted in the BSZN substrate.

Fig. 2. Current vs. voltage curves for the biased electrodes and nearby probes. The ratio of $I_{(sat)e}/I_{(sat)i} \sim 7$ is obtained for the electrodes and $I_{(sat)e}/I_{(sat)i} \sim 24$ for the probes. The electron temperature deduced from the probe (I,V) curve was $T_e \sim 10 \pm 5$ eV.

Fig. 3 Response of the probe electron saturation current profile to electrode biasing. The probe voltages were all fixed at +45 volts, while E2 was biased to -95 volts and E3 was biased to + 95 volts. The profiles showed an increase in SOL width during the “bias on” phase, implying an increase in density consistent with broadening of the scrape-off layer.

Fig. 4 Field lines incident on the probes pass through the viewing region of the GPI diagnostics resulting in areas of good correlation (white regions) between the probe signal and the Dalphi emission. Each square represents 24 x 24 cm approximately with the separatrix indicated by a solid line and the antenna limiter shadow with a dotted line. The images displayed represent the correlation for a 4 ms time interval between each position in the GPI images and the probe indicated on the left. The position of the center of each probe mapped along the field line is indicated by a green plus sign.

Fig. 1




Fig 2




Fig 3.





Fig 4.



The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>