

PPPL-5259

Action principle for Coulomb collisions in plasmas

E. Hirvijok

September 2016



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

<http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm>

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

<http://www.osti.gov/scitech/>

Related Links:

[U.S. Department of Energy](#)

[U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science](#)

[U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fusion Energy Sciences](#)

Action principle for Coulomb collisions in plasmas

Eero Hirvijoki*

Department of Applied Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, 41296 Gothenburg, Sweden

(Dated: September 15, 2015)

In this letter we derive an action principle for Coulomb collisions in plasmas. Although no natural Lagrangian exists for the Landau-Fokker-Planck equation, an Eulerian variational formulation is found considering the system of partial differential equations that couple the distribution function and the Rosenbluth potentials. Exact conservation laws are derived after generalizing the energy-momentum stress tensor for second order Lagrangians and, in the case of a test-particle population in a given plasma background, the action principle is shown to correspond to the Langevin equation for individual particles. Being suitable for discretization, the presented action allows construction of variational integrators. Numerical implementation is left for a future study.

Introduction – The principle of stationary action and the Noether theorem provide powerful tools for analyzing mechanics of particles and fields. Using them, it is possible to find a conservation law for any symmetry in a Lagrangian. Both tools also apply to discrete mechanics, allowing one to construct variational integrators (see e.g. [1, 2]) which typically conserve the discrete counterparts of the conserved continuous quantities. It is no wonder that physicists have had a long-lasting love affair with action principles.

In plasma physics, simulations are entering an era where conservation of energy and momentum is not only a desired feature but a necessity: it would be difficult to trust the results of, for example, global turbulence simulations if numerical methods resulted in artificial damping or growth of turbulence. Superior numerical properties in mind, variational algorithms have been recently developed for particle dynamics [3–5] as well as for Vlasov-Maxwell and Vlasov-Poisson systems [6, 7].

Action principles in plasma physics (see e.g [8–12] for Eulerian formulations of the Vlasov-Maxwell system) are, however, still lacking the collisional effects. Emerging in the form of a collision operator in the kinetic equation for a single-particle distribution function, they describe the binary Coulomb interactions between particles and play an essential role providing equilibration and increasing entropy. Only together, the Vlasov-Maxwell system and the collision operator provide a fundamental description for plasmas. An action principle for Coulomb collisions is thus desired and, in this letter, we provide one.

The Landau-Fokker-Planck equation, describing the collisional evolution of the distribution function in the velocity space, is a non-linear integro-differential equation and, unfortunately, does not exhibit a natural Lagrangian. Thanks to Rosenbluth [13], the Fokker-Planck equation can, however, be formulated as a set of coupled partial differential equations: a diffusion-advection equation for the distribution function f in the velocity space, and two Poisson equations for potential functions ϕ and ψ from which the velocity-space advection and diffusion coefficients can be computed. As Ibragimov [14, 15] has found a way to obtain Lagrangians and conservation laws

for any system of partial differential equations of arbitrary order, we combine the two ideas and find an action principle for Coulomb collisions.

The letter proceeds by first shortly reviewing the classical field theory and its extension by Ibragimov for our purposes. We then derive a generalization of the energy-momentum stress tensor for Lagrangians containing derivatives up to second order, and apply the theory to the Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system. We obtain two second order Lagrangians and show that the total energy and momentum carried by the fields of the extended systems are conserved. Finally, before summarizing our results, we discuss the connection of our action principle to the Langevin equation for an individual particle.

As variational integrators have already been constructed for specific nonvariational partial differential equations that admit first order extended Lagrangians [16], we anticipate the procedure to be applicable to second order Lagrangians, and to the Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system as well. Finding such a variational algorithm is, however, left for a future study.

Mathematical preliminaries – Throughout this letter, we use the following notation: the independent variables (coordinates) in the phase-space-time are denoted by $x = \{x^\mu\}_{\mu=0}^m = (t, x^1, \dots, x^m)$ with m the dimension of the phase-space and the phase-space components being $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^m$. The dependent variables (fields) are denoted by $u = \{u^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$ with n the number of fields. Partial derivatives of the dependent variables with respect to the independent coordinates are denoted by the convention $u_\mu^\alpha = \partial u^\alpha / \partial x^\mu$, which allows for a compact notation for a collection of derivatives according to $u_{(1)} = \{u_\mu^\alpha\}_{\mu,\alpha}$, $u_{(2)} = \{u_{\mu\nu}^\alpha\}_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ etc. We also use the differential

$$D_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + u_\mu^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + u_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\nu^\alpha} + \dots, \quad (1)$$

and define the action $\mathcal{A}[u]$ for the fields u as the integral

$$\mathcal{A}[u] = \int \mathcal{L}(x, u, u_{(1)}, u_{(2)}, \dots) dx, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x, u, u_{(1)}, u_{(2)}, \dots)$ is the Lagrangian (density). Summation over repeated indices is assumed.

In most of the classical problems in physics that possess known Lagrangians, the Lagrangian contains derivatives only up to first order, i.e., $\mathcal{L}(x, u, u_{(1)})$, and the Euler-Lagrange equations resulting from the principle of stationary action take a familiar form

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u^\alpha} - D_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha} = 0. \quad (3)$$

In this case, the Noether theorem provides conservation laws for each symmetry in the Lagrangian according to

$$D_\mu j^\mu = 0, \quad j^\mu = \xi^\mu \mathcal{L} + (\eta^\alpha - \xi^\nu u_\nu^\alpha) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

where the flux j^μ is also known as the Noether current. The vector fields ξ and η appearing in j^μ have to satisfy the invariance condition

$$X(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{L} D_\mu(\xi^\mu) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where the generator for the infinitesimal transformation, under which the Lagrangian is invariant, is given by

$$X = \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} + \eta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + [D_\mu(\eta^\alpha) - u_\nu^\alpha D_\mu(\xi^\nu)] \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

According to Ibragimov [14, 15], one may construct a Lagrangian for an arbitrary system of partial differential equations of any order (s) as long as the number of dependent fields equals the number of differential equations. The recipe is simple: for a set of n differential equations for n fields $f = \{f^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ that are defined implicitly by

$$F_\alpha(x, f, f_{(1)}, \dots, f_{(s)}) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n \quad (7)$$

the Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = f^{*\alpha} F_\alpha, \quad (8)$$

where $f^* = \{f^{*\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ are the so-called *auxiliary* fields. Further, the *adjoint* equations, that describe the evolution of the auxiliary fields, are given by

$$F_\alpha^*(x, f, f^*, f_{(1)}, f_{(1)}^*, \dots) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta f^\alpha} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where the *Euler-Lagrange operator* is defined by

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u^\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + \sum_j (-1)^j D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_j}^\alpha}. \quad (10)$$

In other words, the adjoint equations are found by requiring the action $\mathcal{A}[f, f^*] = \int f^{*\alpha} F_\alpha dx$ to be stationary while computing the variation with respect to the fields f .

Ibragimov also discusses the generalized conservation theorem applicable to Lagrangians of arbitrary order. For fields that satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation, $\delta \mathcal{L} / \delta u^\alpha = 0$, the general theorem can be written as

$$D_\mu j^\mu = X(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{L} D_\mu(\xi^\mu), \quad (11)$$

and, in case of second order Lagrangians, the flux j^μ is

$$j^\mu = \xi^\mu \mathcal{L} + W^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha} + D_\nu(W^\alpha) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{\mu\nu}^\alpha} - W^\alpha D_\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{\mu\nu}^\alpha} \right), \quad (12)$$

with $W^\alpha = \eta^\alpha - \xi^\sigma u_\sigma^\alpha$.

Energy-momentum stress tensor – Before attending the Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system, explicit conservation laws for a second order Lagrangian are derived. They are obtained by investigating phase-space-time symmetries, and by generalizing the classic definition of the energy-momentum stress tensor.

Let us define an infinitesimal transformation with the generating vector field $X = C^\mu \partial / \partial x^\mu$ where C^μ are constants (constant phase-space-time translations). The flux j^μ defined in Eq. (12) then becomes $j^\mu = C^\nu T^{\mu\nu}$ where the generalized *energy-momentum stress tensor*, $T^{\mu\nu}$, applicable to second order Lagrangians has the explicit form

$$T^{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} - u_\nu^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha} + u_\nu^\alpha D_\sigma \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{\mu\sigma}^\alpha} \right) - u_{\nu\sigma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{\mu\sigma}^\alpha}. \quad (13)$$

It is clear that if the Lagrangian is independent of second order derivatives, our definition reduces to $T^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} - u_\nu^\alpha \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial u_\mu^\alpha$, i.e., to the standard energy-momentum stress tensor for first order Lagrangians.

We then apply Eq. (11) to find $C^\nu D_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = C^\nu \mathcal{L}_\nu$ where the derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to coordinate x^ν is understood as an explicit derivative with respect to the independent variables. Since the C^ν are arbitrary, the equation holds component-wise, and we finally obtain

$$D_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_\nu, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n. \quad (14)$$

It is then natural to consider the components of the energy-momentum stress tensor separately, and to define the *energy density*

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \mathcal{L} - u_t^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_t^\alpha} + u_t^\alpha D_\sigma \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t\sigma}^\alpha} \right) - u_{t\sigma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t\sigma}^\alpha}, \quad (15)$$

the *energy-density flux*

$$S^i \equiv -u_t^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_i^\alpha} + u_t^\alpha D_\sigma \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{i\sigma}^\alpha} \right) - u_{i\sigma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{i\sigma}^\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

the *momentum density*

$$P^i = -u_i^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_t^\alpha} + u_i^\alpha D_\sigma \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t\sigma}^\alpha} \right) - u_{i\sigma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t\sigma}^\alpha}, \quad (17)$$

and the *stress tensor*

$$\Pi^{ij} \equiv \delta_j^i \mathcal{L} - u_j^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_i^\alpha} + u_j^\alpha D_\sigma \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{i\sigma}^\alpha} \right) - u_{j\sigma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{i\sigma}^\alpha}, \quad (18)$$

in terms of which, we write equations for the energy and momentum explicitly

$$D_t \mathcal{E} + D_i S^i = \mathcal{L}_t, \quad (19)$$

$$D_t P^j + D_i \Pi^{ij} = \mathcal{L}_j. \quad (20)$$

It is clear that a conservation law exists for the energy (momentum) related to the fields u^α if the Lagrangian does not explicitly depend on time (phase-space coordinates).

Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system – Instead of the integro-differential formulation by Landau, Rosenbluth found a way to formulate the collisional evolution of the distribution function in terms of a coupled system of partial differential equations [13]. Here, for the sake of clarity, we consider only the single species system, which is normalized to dimensionless units. Including more species is straight-forward. The phase-space coordinates x^i now denote the three Cartesian velocity coordinates.

The non-linear Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system for the distribution function f and for the potentials ϕ and ψ is then defined by the differential equations

$$F_f \equiv \partial_t f - D_i (D_i \phi f - D_i D_j \psi D_j f), \quad (21)$$

$$F_\phi \equiv D_i D_i \phi - f, \quad (22)$$

$$F_\psi^{(1)} \equiv D_i D_i \psi - \phi, \quad (23)$$

$$F_\psi^{(2)} \equiv D_i D_i D_j D_j \psi - f, \quad (24)$$

where we have denoted two different options for the equation $F_\psi = 0$. We then simply introduce the auxiliary fields (f^*, ϕ^*, ψ^*) and obtain the Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(i)} = f^* F_f + \phi^* F_\phi + \psi^* F_\psi^{(i)}. \quad (25)$$

As such, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(1)}$ contains derivatives of order three and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(2)}$ derivatives of order four. Addition of total derivatives into the Lagrangian does not, however, alter the Euler-Lagrange equations, and we may reduce the order of derivatives present in the Lagrangians.

Neglecting total derivatives, we obtain the second-order Lagrangians

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} f^* (D_t - D_i \phi D_i) f - \frac{1}{2} f (D_t - D_i \phi D_i) f^* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f f^* D_i D_i \phi - D_i f^* D_i D_j \psi D_j f \\ &\quad - D_i \phi^* D_i \phi - D_i \psi^* D_i \psi - \phi^* f - \psi^* \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} f^* (D_t - D_i \phi D_i) f - \frac{1}{2} f (D_t - D_i \phi D_i) f^* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f f^* D_i D_i \phi - D_i f^* D_i D_j \psi D_j f \\ &\quad - D_i \phi^* D_i \phi + D_i D_i \psi^* D_j D_j \psi - \phi^* f - \psi^* f, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and it is straight-forward to verify that both lead to the Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system when varied with respect to the fields (f^*, ϕ^*, ψ^*) .

Neither $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(1)}$ nor $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(2)}$ depends explicitly on time t or velocity x^i . It is thus possible to find exact conservation laws in the field-theory sense simply by computing

the energy density \mathcal{E} , the energy-density flux S^i , the momentum density P^i , and the stress tensor Π^{ij} according to their definitions given in equations (15–18).

Further, since the conservation laws are expressed in divergence form and all our fields vanish at the boundaries of the infinite velocity space, we immediately obtain conservation of the total field-related energy

$$D_t \int \mathcal{E} dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 = 0, \quad (28)$$

and component-wise conservation of the total field-related momentum

$$D_t \int P^i dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (29)$$

where the explicit expressions for the energy density and momentum density are

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{2} f D_i f^* - \frac{1}{2} f^* D_t f, \quad (30)$$

$$P^i = \frac{1}{2} f D_i f^* - \frac{1}{2} f^* D_i f. \quad (31)$$

Although the definitions for energy and momentum carried by the extended Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck system are not the physical kinetic energy and momentum carried by the distribution function f , the exact conservation laws in our extended continuous system motivate finding variational integrators that would provide discrete counterparts for the continuous conservation laws, and thus solve the extended system as well as possible. As the physical system can be derived from the extended one, it is anticipated that the superior properties of variational integrators, though applied to the extended system, would then carry to the physical system as well.

Adjoint system and Langevin equation – The adjoint equations for the fields (f^*, ϕ^*, ψ^*) are derived by applying the second order Euler-Lagrange operator

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u^\alpha} - D_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_\mu^\alpha} \right) + D_\mu D_\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{\mu\nu}^\alpha} \right) \equiv F_\alpha^*, \quad (32)$$

with respect to the fields (f, ϕ, ψ) . For the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(1)}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} F_f^{*,(1)} &\equiv -D_t f^* + (D_i \phi)(D_i f^*) \\ &\quad + D_i (D_i D_j \psi D_j f^*) - \phi^*, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$F_\phi^{*,(1)} \equiv D_i D_i \phi^* - \psi^* - D_i (f D_i f^*), \quad (34)$$

$$F_\psi^{*,(1)} \equiv D_i D_i \psi^* - D_i D_j (D_i f D_j f^*), \quad (35)$$

and for the alternative Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}}^{(2)}$ the computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_f^{*,(2)} &\equiv -D_t f^* + (D_i \phi)(D_i f^*) \\ &\quad + D_i (D_i D_j \psi D_j f^*) - \phi^* - \psi^*, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$F_\phi^{*,(2)} \equiv D_i D_i \phi^* - D_i (f D_i f^*), \quad (37)$$

$$F_\psi^{*,(2)} \equiv D_i D_i D_j D_j \psi^* - D_i D_j (D_i f D_j f^*). \quad (38)$$

Considering then the case of test-particles in a given background plasma, the Rosenbluth potentials are pre-defined and no longer dependent variables: the Lagrangian becomes simply $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RFP}} = f^* F_f$. Noting that $D_j D_j \psi = \phi$ we define the coefficients

$$\mu^i = -2D_i \phi \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jk} = -D_i D_j \psi, \quad (40)$$

and write the equations for f and f^* as

$$F_f = -D_t f - D_i (\mu^i f) + D_i D_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jk} f \right), \quad (41)$$

$$F_f^* = D_t f^* + \mu^i (D_i f^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jk} (D_i D_j f^*), \quad (42)$$

which are the Kolmogorov forward ($F_f = 0$) and backward ($F_f^* = 0$) equations. The forward equation describes time evolution of the probability density of a stochastic process X that obeys the Langevin equation of the Itô type

$$dX^i = \mu^i(X) dt + \sigma^{ij}(X) dW^j, \quad (43)$$

where dW^j is an infinitesimal change in a Wiener process W^j with expectation value $E[W^j] = 0$ and variance $\text{Var}[W^j] = t$. The backward equation, on the other hand, describes the evolution of the probability density for $t \leq s$ given end condition $f^*(x) = p(x)$.

Summary – In this letter, we have derived an Eulerian action principle for Coulomb collisions in plasmas using the Rosenbluth potentials and the concept of extended Lagrangians. We also derived the exact conservation laws for the total energy and momentum carried by the fields in the extended system. In the case of a test-particle population in a given background plasma, the action principle was shown to equal the Langevin equation for individual particles.

By providing an action principle for statistical description of Coulomb collisions, this letter, first of all, enables for a comprehensive field theory in plasma physics. Secondly, as the action given is in a form suitable for discretization, the letter facilitates the development of variational integrators for the Fokker-Planck collision operator. Finally, derivation of exact conservation laws allows variational algorithms to be benchmarked and validated.

The author is grateful for the encouraging comments from T. Fülöp, J. Candy, I. Pusztai, A. Stahl, O. Embéus, G. Papp, A. Bhattacharjee, T. Kurki-Suonio, S. Newton, and A. Brizard and for all the fruitful discussions.

- [1] J. E. Marsden and M. West. Discrete mechanics and variational integrators. *Acta Numerica 2001*, 10:357–514, 5 2001.
- [2] Ari Stern, Yiyong Tong, Mathieu Desbrun, and Jerrold E. Marsden. Geometric computational electrodynamics with variational integrators and discrete differential forms. In *Geometry, Mechanics, and Dynamics*, volume 73 of *Fields Institute Communications*, pages 437–475. Springer New York, 2015.
- [3] Hong Qin and Xiaoyin Guan. Variational symplectic integrator for long-time simulations of the guiding-center motion of charged particles in general magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:035006, Jan 2008.
- [4] Ruili Zhang, Jian Liu, Hong Qin, Yulei Wang, Yang He, and Yajuan Sun. Volume-preserving algorithm for secular relativistic dynamics of charged particles. *Physics of Plasmas*, 22(4):044501, 2015.
- [5] C Leland Ellison, J M Finn, H Qin, and W M Tang. Development of variational guiding center algorithms for parallel calculations in experimental magnetic equilibria. *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 57(5):054007, 2015.
- [6] J. Squire, H. Qin, and W. M. Tang. Geometric integration of the Vlasov-Maxwell system with a variational particle-in-cell scheme. *Physics of Plasmas*, 19(8):084501, 2012.
- [7] Michael Kraus. *Variational Integrators in Plasma Physics*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität München, 2013.
- [8] F. E. Low. A Lagrangian formulation of the Boltzmann-Vlasov equation for plasmas. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 248(1253):pp. 282–287, 1958.
- [9] Huan Chun Ye and P. J. Morrison. Action principles for the Vlasov equation. *Physics of Fluids B*, 4(4):771–777, 1992.
- [10] Jonas Larsson. An action principle for the Vlasov equation and associated Lie perturbation equations. part 2. the Vlasov–Maxwell system. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 49:255–270, 4 1993.
- [11] Tor Flå. Action principle and the Hamiltonian formulation for the Maxwell-Vlasov equations on a symplectic leaf. *Physics of Plasmas*, 1(8):2409–2418, 1994.
- [12] Alain J. Brizard. New variational principle for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84:5768–5771, Jun 2000.
- [13] M. N. Rosenbluth, W. M. MacDonald, and D. L. Judd. Fokker-Planck equation for an inverse-square force. *Phys. Rev.*, 107:1–6, Jul 1957.
- [14] Nail H. Ibragimov. Integrating factors, adjoint equations and Lagrangians. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 318(2):742–757, 2006.
- [15] Nail H. Ibragimov. A new conservation theorem. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 333(1):311–328, 2007. Special issue dedicated to William Ames.
- [16] Michael Kraus and Omar Maj. Variational integrators for nonvariational partial differential equations. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 310:37 – 71, 2015.

* ero.hirvijoki@chalmers.se

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Office of Reports and Publications

Managed by
Princeton University

under contract with the
U.S. Department of Energy
(DE-AC02-09CH11466)

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543
Phone: 609-243-2245
Fax: 609-243-2751

E-mail: publications@pppl.gov

Website: <http://www.pppl.gov>